# Letter to the Editor



# Anti-mitochondrial Antibodies in Patients with Elevated Alkaline Phosphatase/Gamma-glutamyl Transferase Levels: Hallmark or Bystander?

Fukui Zhang\*

Department of Gastroenterology, BenQ Medical Center, The Affiliated BenQ Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

Received: April 17, 2025 | Revised: June 06, 2025 | Accepted: June 17, 2025 | Published online: June 30, 2025

**Citation of this article:** Zhang F. Anti-mitochondrial Antibodies in Patients with Elevated Alkaline Phosphatase/ Gamma-glutamyl Transferase Levels: Hallmark or Bystander? J Clin Transl Hepatol 2025. doi: 10.14218/JCTH.2025. 00168.

#### Dear Editors,

I read with great interest the recently published article by Zeng *et al.*<sup>1</sup> They reported that positive primary biliary cholangitis (PBC)-specific antibodies, along with elevated alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and/or gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) levels, may occur in various non-PBC diseases.

Elevated ALP and/or GGT levels are commonly encountered in patients with different diseases, and some of these patients may test positive for anti-mitochondrial antibodies (AMA). However, when the elevation of ALP and/or GGT levels can be accounted for by other identifiable etiologies, the diagnosis of PBC should not be established in the absence of histologic evidence.

AMA has been considered the serologic hallmark of PBC. However, AMA reactivity alone is insufficient for diagnosing PBC.<sup>2</sup> The positivity rate of AMA in healthy individuals ranges from 0.1% to 0.8%, which is significantly higher than the reported prevalence of PBC, which varies from 1.91 to 40.2 per 100,000.<sup>3</sup> Li *et al.*<sup>4</sup> reported an AMA-M2 positivity rate of 3.23% among 72,173 individuals undergoing health checkups. Notably, only 0.6% of these AMA-M2-positive, non-PBC individuals developed PBC after a median follow-up of 6.6 years. Moreover, as reported by Zeng *et al.* and many others, AMA can be detected in patients with non-PBC liver diseases as well as non-liver diseases.

AMA is a non-organ-specific autoantibody targeting mitochondrial membrane structures. Compared with AMA, AMA-M2, along with several antinuclear antibodies (such as anti-sp100, anti-gp210, anti-lamin B receptor, and anti-p62), demonstrates higher specificity for detecting PBC.<sup>5</sup> The detection of these antibodies requires laboratory techniques based on recombinant antigens to avoid low specificity. A previous study reported that the dot blot assay for AMA-M2 was more specific than the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay used in Zeng *et al.*'s research. However, whether comprehensive detection of antinuclear antibodies and the application of more specific laboratory techniques significantly improve diagnostic accuracy remains unclear.

In Zeng *et al.*'s report, among the non-PBC liver diseases, the most prevalent was metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), followed by drug-induced liver injury. MAFLD has become the leading cause of liver disease worldwide and is also a common cause of elevated alanine aminotransferase and GGT levels. Ravi *et al.*<sup>6</sup> reported that four (1%) of 398 patients with MAFLD or alcohol-related liver disease were AMA positive. Among the three AMA-positive patients with available histology, only one, with an AMA titer of 1:640, had minimal bile duct damage but normal ALP, while the other two showed no evidence of PBC. Another study reported a higher AMA positivity rate of 4% in patients with MAFLD who lacked histological evidence of PBC.<sup>7</sup>

GGT is highly sensitive for diagnosing liver injury, yet its specificity is poor. This is because many conditions, such as obesity, smoking, excessive alcohol intake, and medication use without significant liver injury, are associated with elevated GGT. Therefore, GGT is usually measured in combination with ALP for the diagnosis of cholestasis. Both the European Association for the Study of the Liver and the Chinese Society of Hepatology have proposed the criterion for diagnosing cholestatic liver disease: "ALP levels greater than 1.5 times the upper limit of normal and GGT levels exceeding three times the upper limit of normal ".<sup>8</sup> In Zeng *et al.*'s report, most patients had elevated GGT but normal ALP levels. This might largely explain why they were not diagnosed with PBC, even though several studies have reported normal ALP levels in PBC patients.<sup>9</sup>

Zeng *et al.* enrolled patients who received treatment targeting primary diseases without ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) therapy. Etiological treatment is relatively straightforward for patients with drug-induced liver injuries, since drug discontinuation is the main management strategy, and most patients can fully recover without long-term sequelae. However, the situation is much more challenging for MAFLD patients. Only a minority can achieve the target weight loss of 7–10% through lifestyle interventions alone, and an even

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Fukui Zhang, Department of Gastroenterology, BenQ Medical Center, The Affiliated BenQ Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, 71 Hexi Street, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210019, China. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-6876-5906. Tel/Fax: +86-25-52238800, E-mail: mailto:frankliver@163. com.

Copyright: © 2025 The Author(s). This article has been published under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0), which permits noncommercial unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the following statement is provided. "This article has been published in *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* at https://doi.org/10.14218/JCTH.2025.00168 and can also be viewed on the Journal's website at http://www.jcthnet.com".

smaller percentage can maintain this weight loss over time.<sup>10</sup> Thus, I am curious about the percentage of MAFLD patients who achieved the weight loss goal in Zeng et al.'s report. Notably, they observed a further increase in ALP and/or GGT levels after etiological treatment in some MAFLD patients. However, only four of these 13 patients underwent liver biopsy at baseline, and none showed histological findings of PBC. Alternative tests to liver biopsy, including controlled attenuation parameter measurement and novel biomarkers, may aid in the diagnosis of MAFLD.

Managing these non-responders, particularly decisions on whether to perform an immediate liver biopsy or initiate appropriate therapies (such as UDCA) for individualized experimental therapy, presents significant challenges. However, when patients exhibit multiple factors associated with an increased risk of PBC, such as being middle-aged females or having elevated immunoglobulin M levels (or levels high within the normal range), a timely liver biopsy to confirm or rule out the diagnosis of PBC is especially advisable

Some may be concerned about the risk of disease progression if these patients actually have PBC but are not initially treated with UDCA. Even when PBC is present, based on their ALP and bilirubin levels, these patients generally have early-stage PBC. Non-invasive assessment methods, including FibroScan and magnetic resonance elastography, combined with risk scoring systems such as the GLOBE score, can effectively facilitate risk stratification for these individuals. A large-scale Japanese cohort study has shown that delayed UDCA treatment does not affect outcomes in patients with early-stage PBC.11

Therefore, for patients with positive AMA, elevated ALP and/or GGT levels, and identifiable alternative etiologies, the practical strategy proposed by Zeng et al. effectively minimizes the likelihood of misdiagnosing PBC and reduces the need for liver biopsy. I wish to extend my appreciation to Zeng et al. for providing additional evidence that may help reduce the overdiagnosis of PBC. Given that PBC is an incurable condition, overdiagnosis can undoubtedly inflict unnecessary distress on patients.

#### Funding

None to declare.

## **Conflict of interest**

The author has no conflicts of interest related to this publication.

### Author contributions

FZ is the sole author of the manuscript and has approved the final version and publication of the manuscript.

#### References

- [1] Zeng X, Lv T, Li S, Chen S, Li B, Lu Z, et al. Patients with AMA/anti-sp100/ anti-gp210 Positivity and Cholestasis Can Manifest Conditions Beyond Primary Biliary Cholangitis. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2025;13(3):200-206. doi:10.14218/JCTH.2024.00374, PMID:40078201.
- Colapietro F, Lleo A, Generali E. Antimitochondrial Antibodies: from Bench to Bedside. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2022;63(2):166–177. doi:10.1007/ [2]
- s12016-021-08904-y, PMID:34586589.
  [3] Lv T, Chen S, Li M, Zhang D, Kong Y, Jia J. Regional variation and temporal trend of primary biliary cholangitis epidemiology: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;36(6):1423–1434.
- View and meta-analysis. J Gastroenteron nepator 2021, 30(0):1423-1433.
  (doi:10.1111/jgh.15329, PMID:33141955.
  [4] Li H, Liu S, Wang X, Feng X, Wang S, Zhang Y, et al. Prediction of primary biliary cholangitis among health check-up population with anti-mitochondrial M2 antibody positive. Clin Mol Hepatol 2025;31(2):474-488.
- tochondrial M2 antibody positive. Clin Mol Hepatol 2025;31(2):474–488. doi:10.3350/cmh.2024.0416, PMID:39736267.
  [5] Granito A, Muratori P, Quarneti C, Pappas G, Cicola R, Muratori L. Antinu-clear antibodies as ancillary markers in primary biliary cirrhosis. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2012;12(1):65–74. doi:10.1586/erm.11.82, PMID:22133120.
  [6] Ravi S, Shoreibah M, Raff E, Bloomer J, Kakati D, Rasheed K, *et al.* Au-toimmune Markers Do Not Impact Clinical Presentation or Natural History of Steatohepatitis-Related Liver Disease. Dig Dis Sci 2015;60(12):3788– 3793. doi:10.1007/s10620-015-3795-5, PMID:26173506.
  [7] Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Clark JM, Bass NM, Van Natta ML, Unalp-Arida A, Tonascia L *et al.* Clinical Laboratory and bistological associations in adults
- Tonascia J, et al. Clinical, laboratory and histological associations in adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2010;52(3):913-924. doi:10.1002/hep.23784, PMID:20648476.
- Lu L, Chinese Society of Hepatology and Chinese Medical Association. Guide-lines for the Management of Cholestatic Liver Diseases (2021). J Clin Transl Hepatol 2022;10(4):757-769. doi:10.14218/JCTH.2022.00147, PMID:360 62287
- [9] Terziroli Beretta-Piccoli B, Stirnimann G, Mertens J, Semela D, Zen Y, Mazzucchelli L, et al. Primary biliary cholangitis with normal alkaline phos-phatase: A neglected clinical entity challenging current guidelines. J Autoimmun 2021;116:102578. doi:10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102578, PMID:332 29138.
- [10] Younossi ZM, Corey KE, Lim JK. AGA Clinical Practice Update on Lifestyle Modification Using Diet and Exercise to Achieve Weight Loss in the Management of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Expert Review. Gastroenterology 2021;160(3):912-918. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.11.051, PMID:333 07021.
- [11] Tanaka A, Hirohara J, Nakano T, Yagi M, Namisaki T, Yoshiji H, et al. Effect of deferred or no treatment with ursodeoxycholic acid in patients with early primary biliary cholangitis. Hepatol Res 2018;48(9):727-734. doi:10.1111/hepr.13068, PMID:29405510.